IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.70078-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION:21.12.2006

Dalip Singh @ Khardu .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Anr. .....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present:- Shri Anil Ghangas, Advcate
for the petitioner.

Shri Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

None for the complainant.

****

Petitioner Dalip Singh @ Khardu apprehending his arrest in a non-bailable offence in complaint under Sections 323/330/331/339/340/386/389/506/162/163/167/211 and 120-B IPC, pending in the court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hisar, has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for anticipatory bail.

Service is complete. No one is present on behalf of the complainant.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioner, while referring to the various paragraphs of the complaint, in which the petitioner has been summoned to face trial as an accused, contends that totally vague allegations have been levelled against the petitioner. In the complaint, it has been alleged that in connivance with accused No.1, the petitioner and other persons have harassed the complainant by filing complaint to the Superintendent of

Police. Counsel contends that all the allegations in the complaint are false.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of the order dated 10.11.2006, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and furnished his regular bail bonds, which have been accepted and attested vide order dated 20.11.2006 (copy of the same is placed on record) and since then he is regularly appearing before the trial Court.

In view of the above, the interim bail, granted vide order dated 10.11.2006 is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

December 21, 2006 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
pooja **JUDGE**